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- coloring of a graph $G=(V, E)$ :
$f: E \longrightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$
- for $x \in V, \sigma(x)=\sum_{x \in e} f(e)$
- Two vertices $x, y$ are distinguished if $\sigma(x) \neq \sigma(y)$.
- irregularity strength is minimum $k$ such that there exists an $f$ distinguishing all vertices.
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## Irregularity strength: local version

- We distinguish only neighbors;

First considered by M. Karoński, T. Łuczak and A.
Thomasson (2004)
1-2-3 Conjecture. The set of colors $\{1,2,3\}$ suffices to distinguish neighbors by the sums $\sigma$.

- ( $G$ connected, $G \neq K_{2}$ )
- $\chi_{\sigma} \leq 3$
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## Local version. What is known?

1-2-3 Conjecture is true for some families of graphs. In particular for bipartite graphs.

- $\chi_{\sigma} \leq 5$
(M. Kalkowski, M. Karoński, F. Pfender; 2011)
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## Digraphs. Definitions

- $D=(V, A)$;
- coloring of a graph $D=(V, A)$ :
$f: A \longrightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$
- for $x \in V, \sigma^{+}(x)=\sum_{x y \in A} f(x y)$
- for $x \in V, \sigma^{-}(x)=\sum_{y x \in A} f(y x)$
- In order to distinguish two vertices $x, y$ we can use $\sigma^{+}$ and $\sigma^{-}$.


## Digraphs. First possibility

- $D=(V, A) ; f: A \longrightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$


## Digraphs. First possibility

$$
D=(V, A) ; f: A \longrightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}
$$

for $x \in V$ we consider $\sigma^{+}(x)-\sigma^{-}(x)$

## Digraphs. First possibility

- $D=(V, A) ; f: A \longrightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$
- for $x \in V$ we consider $\sigma^{+}(x)-\sigma^{-}(x)$
- for $x y \in E$, the vertices $x, y$ are distinguished iff
$\sigma^{+}(x)-\sigma^{-}(x) \neq \sigma^{+}(y)-\sigma^{-}(y)$.


## Digraphs. First possibility

$D=(V, A) ; f: A \longrightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$
for $x \in V$ we consider $\sigma^{+}(x)-\sigma^{-}(x)$

- for $x y \in E$, the vertices $x, y$ are distinguished iff
$\sigma^{+}(x)-\sigma^{-}(x) \neq \sigma^{+}(y)-\sigma^{-}(y)$.
$\vec{\chi}_{ \pm}$


## Digraphs. First possibility

$D=(V, A) ; f: A \longrightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$
for $x \in V$ we consider $\sigma^{+}(x)-\sigma^{-}(x)$
for $x y \in E$, the vertices $x, y$ are distinguished iff
$\sigma^{+}(x)-\sigma^{-}(x) \neq \sigma^{+}(y)-\sigma^{-}(y)$.
$\vec{\chi}_{ \pm}$

- Theorem. $\vec{\chi}_{ \pm} \leq 2$


## Digraphs. First possibility

- $D=(V, A) ; f: A \longrightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$
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- for $x y \in E$, the vertices $x, y$ are distinguished iff
$\sigma^{+}(x)-\sigma^{-}(x) \neq \sigma^{+}(y)-\sigma^{-}(y)$.
$\vec{\chi}_{ \pm}$
- Theorem. $\vec{\chi}_{ \pm} \leq 2$
- M. Borowiecki, J. Grytczuk, M. Pilśniak. Coloring chip configurations on graphs and digraphs. Information Processing Letters, 112:1-4, 2012.
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## Digraphs. Second possibility

- $D=(V, A) ; f: A \longrightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$
- for $x \in V$ we consider $\sigma^{+}(x)$
- for $x y \in E$, the vertices $x, y$ are distinguished iff
$\sigma^{+}(x) \neq \sigma^{+}(y)$.
$\vec{\chi}_{+}$
- Theorem. $\vec{\chi}_{+} \leq 3$
O. Baudon, J. Bensmail, É. Sopena. An oriented version of the 1-2-3 Conjecture. Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory, 35(1):141-156, 2015

We need 3 colors
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## Third possibility

- $D=(V, A) ; f: A \longrightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$
- for $x y \in A$, the vertices $x, y$ are distinguished iff $\sigma^{+}(x) \neq \sigma^{-}(y)$.
- Proposed by T. Łuczak
- $\vec{\chi}_{L}$
- Unfortunately, such coloring is not always possible
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## Third possibility. The main theorem

Theorem. Let $D=(V, A)$ be a digraph without lonely arcs.

- Then $\vec{\chi}_{L} \leq 3$

Emma Barme, Julien Bensmail, Jakub Przybyło, Mariusz Woźniak, On a directed variation of the 1-2-3 and 1-2 Conjectures, submitted.
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## Fourth possibility

- $D=(V, A) ; f: A \longrightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, k\}$
- for $x y \in E$, the vertices $x, y$ are distinguished iff $\sigma^{-}(x) \neq \sigma^{+}(y)$.
- 'inverse Łuczak's problem'
- $\overleftarrow{\chi}_{L}$
- As in Łuczak's problem, such coloring is not always possible
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If $x$ is a source, $y$ is a sink in the graph without $y x$ arc, $x y \in A, A^{-}(x)=\{x y\}$ and $A^{+}(y)=\{x y\}$
$\sigma^{-}(x)=f(x y)$ and $\sigma^{+}(y)=f(x y)$
So, again, it is impossible to distinguish $x$ from $y$.

- in this case, the arc $x y$ is called source-sink edge.
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## ourth possibility = inverse Łuczak's problem

Theorem. Let $D=(V, A)$ be a digraph without source-sink configurations (arcs or edges).
Then $\overleftarrow{\chi}_{L}$ exists.

- A natural question is ...
whether three colors are enough?
- Answer: no!
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- $b \neq c, b \neq d$;
$c \neq d ;$
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- In general, for digraphs without source-sink configurations, we have showed that
$\overleftarrow{\chi}_{L}$ is not bounded.
... because of lonely edges ....
- So, maybe without such edges ... ?
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## A conjecture

Conjecture. Let $D=(V, A)$ be a digraph without source-sink configurations
and without lonely arcs.
Then $\overleftarrow{\chi}_{L} \leq 3$

- For the moment, we are able to prove 4.
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## Thank you

